1

CS525 Winter 2012 \ Class Assignment #3, 2/8/2012

Aylin Caliskan

Nicholas Milkovits

Ariel Stolerman

4.10)

Let $INF_{PDA} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a PDA and } L(M) \text{ is an infinite language}\}$. Following is a proof that INF_{PDA} is decidable: A context-free language is infinite if there exists a cycle within its derivation rules. For PDAs, we can construct a CFG corresponding to any given PDA and test it. Therefore we can construct a Turing machine N that given the input M does as follows:

- Check if the input *M* is a valid encoding of a PDA. If not, *reject*.
- Create G a CFG that is equivalent to M, i.e. L(M) = L(G), and convert G to Chomsky normal form.
- Look for a cycle in the grammar's rules in BFS (in order to avoid infinite loops) such that at any iteration on the cycle the generated string is pumped (i.e. the cycles describes a derivation of the form R → aRb where |ab| > 0 and a, b are terminals).
- If found such cycle, *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*.

4.12)

Let $A = \{\langle R, S \rangle | R \text{ and } S \text{ are regular expressions}, L(R) \subseteq L(S)\}$. Following is a proof that A is decidable. We will show a Turing machine M that decides A:

- Check that *R*, *S* are proper regular expressions, otherwise *reject*.
- Construct a NFA A' from the regular expression R (such that L(A) = L(R)), and then a DFA A from A'.
- Construct a NFA B' from the regular expression S (such that L(B) = L(S)), and then a DFA B from B'.
- Construct a DFA C that recognizes $L(A) \cap \overline{L(B)}$.
- Simulate the TM from the book that decides *E*_{DFA} on *C*. If it accepts, *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*.

Note that if L(R) is not fully contained within L(S) then $\exists w \in L(R) \land w \notin L(S) \Rightarrow w \in L(R) \cap \overline{L(S)}$. Furthermore, the construction of the NFAs and DFAs can be done using a Turing machine, and the intersection of regular languages is a regular language, so we can construct a DFA for it. Thus if the intersection above is discovered to be empty, L(A) must be fully contained in L(B), and so L(R) is fully contained in L(S).

4.22)

Let $L = \{\langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a PDA that has a useless state}\}$. Following is a proof that L is decidable. We will construct a Turing machine M that decides L as follows:

- Check that *M* is a proper PDA, otherwise *reject*.
- For any state q in M:
 - Mark q as the only accepting state, and denote that PDA as M'.
 - Use the Turing machine that decides E_{PDA} on M'. If it accepts *accept*.
- If got to this point, *reject*.

Clearly if marking any state q as the only accepting state, and the language recognized by that variant is empty, then there exists a useless state in the input PDA M.